

Message

---

**From:** DeCoteau, Jesse (IHS/PHX) [Jesse.Decoteau@ihs.gov]  
**Sent:** 11/3/2017 10:46:22 PM  
**To:** 'Carroll Onsaie' [consae@hopitelecom.com]  
**CC:** Rapicavoli, Emmanuelle [Rapicavoli.Emmanuelle@epa.gov]; Matson, Eric (IHS/PHX) [Eric.Matson@ihs.gov]; Carter, James (IHS/PHX) [James.Carter2@ihs.gov]; Tim Bodell [tbodell@hopiuc.com]; brad.rea@ihs.gov  
**Subject:** RE: HAMP

Afternoon Carroll,

Potential interests that come to mind are as follows:

- BIA/BIE
  - First Mesa Day School\*
  - Second Mesa Day School
  - Hopi Jr./Sr. High School
  - Keams Canyon
- IHS
  - Hopi Health Care Center\*
- HUD – HTHA
- Walpi Housing (Tribal Enterprise)\*
- Hopi Cultural Center
- Sipaulovi development that has their own independent PER submitted to USDA for review
- Third Mesa Villages, which were omitted from the HAMP PER due to not having significant health deficiencies (e.g. arsenic) and complications associated with too many entities involved

\*entities are direct customers of the FMCV PWS

My concern with that question is that it spawns many more questions. I don't think that USDA wants to hear about potential for anything being added without having their impacts on the design and end user cost quantified. Things can be evaluated and added, but that would take time and resources. The end-game of quantifying everything (I'm assuming) is to get entities to commit funding, which has not happened with BIA/BIE to-date. It is especially hard to handle customers that are part of the FMCV PWS since that is within their purview and omitted from the HAMP PER due to the wholesale concept.

Thanks,

Jesse

---

**From:** Carroll Onsaie [mailto:consae@hopitelecom.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 02, 2017 10:37 AM  
**To:** rapicavoli.emmanuelle@epa.gov; Matson, Eric (IHS/PHX) <Eric.Matson@ihs.gov>; Carter, James (IHS/PHX) <James.Carter2@ihs.gov>; DeCoteau, Jesse (IHS/PHX) <Jesse.Decoteau@ihs.gov>; Tim Bodell <tbodell@hopiuc.com>; Rea, Brad (IHS/PHX) <Brad.Rea@ihs.gov>  
**Subject:** HAMP

I just got off the phone with Jeff Hays, the end user pricing is the big challenge at this point. I mentioned to him how we are addressing this challenge. If I understand correctly, the \$49 rate is decreased to \$41 +/- with some household adjustments, however, I feel this could go down more. He asked if there were any development projects, and/or, i.e., village, institutional interests out there that could participate in the water delivery system shortly after the HAMP is built that could be considered in the grant/loan application analysis. If there are any that are "feasible" for HUC to consider and pursue, give your suggestions to me soon.

As far as the PER, Mike will schedule to meet with I.H.S. sometime soon to discuss further.

The USDA staff just came back from being out of the office and is now gearing up to work on the HAMP.

Call or email for more information, thank you.

**Carroll Onsae**

President/General Manager

Hopi Telecommunications, Inc.

6 East Aspen Avenue, Suite 240

Flagstaff, AZ 86001

(928) 522-8428

[consae@hopitelecom.com](mailto:consae@hopitelecom.com)

[www.hopitelecom.net](http://www.hopitelecom.net)

*The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.*